

Global foundations for reducing nutrient enrichment and oxygen depletion from land based pollution, in support of the

# **Global Nutrient Cycle**

























Eutrophication assessment and nutrient criteria development: Atlas of global assessments and scenario forecasting on nutrient cycling and environmental impacts

Prepared by: GRID-Arendal

Component C: Doc: B7-1

Partners:





































### **About the GEF-Global Nutrient Cycle Project**

**Project objective:** to provide the foundations (including partnerships, information, tools and policy mechanisms) for governments and other stakeholders to initiate comprehensive, effective and sustained programmes addressing nutrient over-enrichment and oxygen depletion from land based pollution of coastal waters in Large Marine Ecosystems.

#### Core project outcomes and outputs:


- the development and application of quantitative modeling approaches: to estimate and map present
  day contributions of different watershed based nutrient sources to coastal nutrient loading and their
  effects; to indicate when nutrient over-enrichment problem areas are likely to occur; and to estimate
  the magnitude of expected effects of further nutrient loading on coastal systems under a range of
  scenarios
- the systematic analysis of available scientific, technological and policy options for managing nutrient over-enrichment impacts in the coastal zone from key nutrient source sectors such as agriculture, wastewater and aquaculture, and their bringing together an overall Policy Tool Box
- the application of the modeling analysis to assess the likely impact and overall cost effectiveness of the various policy options etc brought together in the Tool Box, so that resource managers have a means to determine which investments and decisions they can better make in addressing root causes of coastal over-enrichment through nutrient reduction strategies
- the application of this approach in the Manila Bay watershed with a view to helping deliver the key
  tangible outcome of the project the development of stakeholder owned, cost-effective and policy
  relevant nutrient reduction strategies (containing relevant stress reduction and environmental quality
  indicators), which can be mainstreamed into broader planning
- a fully established global partnership on nutrient management to provide a necessary stimulus and framework for the effective development, replication, up-scaling and sharing of these key outcomes.


#### Project partners:


- Chilika Development Authority
- Energy Centre of the Netherlands
- Global Environment Technology Foundation
- · Government of India Lake Chilika Development Authority
- · Government of the Netherlands
- Government of the Philippines
- · Government of the United States
- Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO
- International Nitrogen Initiative
- Laguna Lake Development Authority
- Partnerships in Environmental Management for the Seas of East Asia
- Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment
- University of Maryland
- · University of the Philippines
- University of Utrecht
- Washington State University
- World Resources Institute

Implementing Agency: United Nations Environment Programme

**Executing Agency:** UNEP- Global Programme of Action for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities (GPA)



















































### **About the GEF-Global Nutrient Cycle Project**

**Project objective:** to provide the foundations (including partnerships, information, tools and policy mechanisms) for governments and other stakeholders to initiate comprehensive, effective and sustained programmes addressing nutrient over-enrichment and oxygen depletion from land based pollution of coastal waters in Large Marine Ecosystems.

#### Core project outcomes and outputs:

- the development and application of quantitative modeling approaches: to estimate and map present day contributions of different watershed based nutrient sources to coastal nutrient loading and their effects; to indicate when nutrient over-enrichment problem areas are likely to occur; and to estimate the magnitude of expected effects of further nutrient loading on coastal systems under a range of scenarios
- the systematic analysis of available scientific, technological and policy options for managing nutrient over-enrichment impacts in the coastal zone from key nutrient source sectors such as agriculture, wastewater and aquaculture, and their bringing together an overall Policy Tool Box
- the application of the modeling analysis to assess the likely impact and overall cost effectiveness of the various policy options etc brought together in the Tool Box, so that resource managers have a means to determine which investments and decisions they can better make in addressing root causes of coastal over-enrichment through nutrient reduction strategies
- the application of this approach in the Manila Bay watershed with a view to helping deliver the key tangible outcome of the project - the development of stakeholder owned, cost-effective and policy relevant

nutrient reduction strategies (containing relevant stress reduction and environmental quality indicators), which can be mainstreamed into

· a fully established global partnership on nutrient management to provide a necessary stimulus and framework for the effective development, replication, up-scaling and sharing of these key outcomes.

#### **Project partners:**

- Chilika Development Authority
- Energy Centre of the Netherlands
- Global Environment Technology Foundation
- Government of India Lake Chilika Development Authority
- Government of the Netherlands
- Government of the Philippines
- Government of the United States
- Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO
- International Nitrogen Initiative
- Laguna Lake Development Authority
- Partnerships in Environmental Management for the Seas of East Asia
- Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment
- University of Maryland
- University of the Philippines
- · University of Utrecht
- Washington State University
- World Resources Institute


Implementing Agency: United Nations Environment Programme

**Executing Agency:** UNEP- Global Programme of Action for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities (GPA)

# **Foreword**

(to come)

### Global oceanic eutrophication and hypoxia hotspots and reported fish decline




Human activities such as agriculture, domestic and industrial wastewater as well as natural processes may cause excessive nutrient loads, known as eutrophication. Increased nutrient loads can lead to many adverse effects including harmful algal blooms, and in extreme cases hypoxia. Hypoxia, or oxygen depletion, is an environmental phenomenon where the concentration of dissolved oxygen in the water column decreases to a level that can no longer support living aquatic organisms. Mitigation of the negative effects of eutrophication requires reduction of nutrient inputs and an ecosystem-based management strategy.


The map shows the location of water bodies impacted by eutrophication and reported hypoxia and impacts (fish reduction) between 1985 and 2000. The data is sourced from the World Resource Institute (https://www.wri.org/resource/interactive-map-eutrophication-hypoxia). The pattern of eutrophic sites reflects the availability of data, with more research available in places like the US and Europe than many other parts of the world.

- oreported fish decline (1985-2000)
- eutrophic (1985-2000)
- hypoxic (1985-2000)
- large river basins















### Global bottom water oxygen concentrations and locations of observed hypoxia




Hypoxic events in coastal regions have been increasing over the last several decades across the globe. These events have been documented along the east and gulf coasts of the United States, in the Baltic region, and off the coast of Japan. The low occurrence of these events in the tropics is likely due to lack of consistent monitoring results in these regions. Hypoxic events have been classified as either persistent (occurring all year round), periodic (occurring at different times) or seasonal (occurring at a certain time of year).

The pattern of dissolved oxygen in bottom water shows many areas with low oxygen concentrations, particularly in Southern and Eastern Asia, the Pacific coast of Central America, the red sea and the Baltic Sea. There is no clear correlation between the location of observed hypoxic events and global bottom water oxygen concentration, reflecting a difference in spatial and temporal scale between the two data sources.


The location of hypoxic events are from the World Resource Institute (http://www.wri.org/resources/data-sets/eutrophication-hypoxia-map-data-set). The global bottom water oxygen concentration data are from the NOAA World Ocean Atlas 2013 version 2 (https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/woa13/).

#### 







## **Nutrient loading according to COOLBEANS model**




In the above map Sensitivity of COSCATs to changes in nutrient loading according to COOLBEANS model. Red denotes high sensitivity, whereas blue represents low sensitivity. The primary purpose of the COOLBEANS model is to quantitatively link changes in bottom water oxygen concentrations to shifts in terrestrial nutrient loading and vertical exchange. COOLBEANS suggests that O2 conditions in these regions are likely to be sensitive to additional N inputs. In particular, the west coast of Central America and northern South America, the east coast of the South eastern Asian peninsula and the east coast of India are indicated as moderately to strongly sensitive to increasing nutrient inputs.








### Global reported harmful algal blooms between 1980 and 2015




Harmful algal blooms can have direct impacts on aquatic life, for example fish kills, and on human health, for example paralytic shellfish poisoning. Harmful algal blooms are often a result of excessive nutrient loads in a water body allowing certain species of algae to increase rapidly in number. Therefore efforts to reduce nutrient loads in waterways can have direct impacts on improved human health.

The map shows the number of reported harmful algae blooms for the period 1980 to 2015. The data are based on the harmful algae event database (HAEDAT, http://haedat.iode.org) and are displayed by Coastal Segmentation and related CATchments (COSCAT).











### Index for coastal eutrophication of river basins, and number of harmful algal blooms





The Index for Coastal Eutrophication Potential (ICEP) is an indicator for the potential of riverine nutrient export to sustain new production of non-diatoms phytoplankton biomass; it is calculated by comparing the N, P and Si loading to the Redfield ratios expressing the requirements of marine diatoms growth.

A negative value of the ICEP indicates that Si is present in excess over the other nutrients and would thus indicate a low likelihood of harmful algal bloom development. Positive values of ICEP indicate an excess of N or P over Si, which may lead to blooms of non-diatom, possibly harmful algae species. The ICEP represents the potential impact of the riverine delivery to the coastal zone.

ICEP of water draining into coastal seas presented on the scale of river basins, and observed algal blooms collected from HAEDAT.









### **An Indicator of Coastal Eutrophication Potential**

ICEP is an indicator for the potential of riverine nutrient export to sustain new production of non-siliceous phytoplankton biomass; it is calculated by comparing the Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P) and Silicon (Si) loading to the Redfield ratios expressing the requirements of marine diatoms growth.

#### How to calculate the ICEP:

The ICEP number can be calculated by the following relationships (based on the Redfield molar C:N:P:Si ratios 106:16:1:20):

ICEP = [N River / (14\*16) – Si River / (28\*20)] \* 106 \* 12/365 if N/P < 16 ( N limiting )

ICEP = [P River / 31 - Si River / (28\*20)] \* 106 \* 12/365 if N/P > 16 ( P limiting )

N=nitrogen (14 molar mass) P=phosphorus (31 molar mass) Si=silicon (28 molar mass)

Unit: concentration (mean annual concentration g m-3) or total loads (kg yr-1)

#### Positive ICEP

Positive values of ICEP indicate an excess of nitrogen or phosphorus over silicon, which may lead to blooms of non-diatom, possibly harmful algae species.


#### Negative ICEP

A negative value of the ICEP indicates that silicon is present in excess over the other nutrients and would thus indicate a low likelihood of Harmful Algal Blooms development.

#### Selection of human activities that are known to contribute to nitrogen and phosphorus, and silicon content of water bodies



### Predicted changes in per-area nutrient fluxes and nutrient form (2000 - 2050)



Predicted changes in per-area nutrient fluxes by large river basin and nutrient form globally between years 2000 and 2050. Note especially large anticipated changes in DIN and DIP loading in South Asia and parts of Central and South America.

There are substantial differences in the relative contributions of various nutrient sources and human drivers causing the scenario trends between developing countries and industrialized countries.

Global NEWS scenarios for 2030 and 2050 indicate that substantial changes in coastal nutrient loading may occur due to changing nutrient management in agriculture

DIN: Dissolved Inorganic Nutrients DON: Dissolved Organic Nutrients PN: Particulate Nitrogen DIP: Dissolved Inorganic Phosphorus PP: Particulate Phosphorus DOP: Dissolved Organic Phosphorus





Map produced by GRID




## Main drivers of ecosystem change for Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA) scenarios Urban wastewater drivers

Drivers	Global Orchestration	Order from Strength	Technogarden	Adapting Mosaic
Urbanization Downscaling to country scale is from Grübler et al. (2006)	B1 Low urbanization rate	A2r Rapid urbanization	B1 Low urbanization rate	B2 Medium rate
Fraction of population with access to improved sanitation  Starting point in 2000: Gap between population with and without improved sanitation	Reduces gap by 2030  Som  Reduces gap by 2050  Som	Constant 2000 level	Increase Gap in 2000  Reduces gap by 2030 50%  Reduces gap by 2050 50%	Constant 2000 level
Fraction of population connected to public sewerage  Starting point in 2000: Gap between population with and without sewage connection	Increase  Gap in 2000  Reduces gap by 2030  50%  Constant toward 2050	Constant 2000 level	Increase  Gap in 2000  Reduces gap by 2030  50%  Constant towards 2050	Constant 2000 level
Detergent use Phosphorus (P) free detergent connected to GDP	Laundry detergent use, fraction of P-free laundry detergents, automatic dishwasher detergent use and fraction P-free dishwasher detergents are entirely based on GDP	Laundry detergent use, fraction of P-free laundry detergents, automatic dishwasher detergent use and fraction P-free dishwasher detergents are entirely based on GDP	Laundry detergent use, fraction of P-free laundry detergents, automatic dishwasher detergent use and fraction P-free dishwasher detergents are entirely based on GDP	Laundry detergent use, fraction of P-free laundry detergents, automatic dishwasher detergent use and fraction P-free dishwasher detergents are entirely based on GDP
Removal of N and P through wastewater treatment plants:  Four treatment classes:  1. No treatment  0% N 0% P  2. Primary or mechanical treatment  10% N 10% P  3. Secondary or biological treatment  35% N 45% P  4. Advanced treatment	50% of each treatment class shifts toward the next in line in the period 2000–2030 and another 50% in 2030–2050	25% of each treatment class shifts toward the next in line in the period 2000–2030 and another 25% in 2030–2050	50% of each treatment class shifts toward the next in line in the period 2000–2030 and another 50% in 2030–2050	25% of each treatment class shifts toward the next in line in the period 2000–2030 and another 25% in 2030–2050

Source: modified from Alcamo et al. (2006)


### MODIS satellite derived global chlorophyll-a and Index for Coastal Eutrophication




The Index for Coastal Eutrophication Potential (ICEP) is an indicator for the potential of riverine nutrient export to sustain new production of non-diatoms phytoplankton biomass; it is calculated by comparing the N, P and Si loading to the Redfield ratios expressing the requirements of marine diatoms growth.


A negative value of the ICEP indicates that Si is present in excess over the other nutrients and would thus indicate a low likelihood of HAB development. Positive values of ICEP indicate an excess of N or P over Si, which may lead to blooms of non-diatom, possibly harmful algae species. The ICEP represents the potential impact of the riverine delivery to the coastal zone.


Here the ICEP value is presented with the MODIS satellite derived chlorophyll-a measurements. Areas with high chlorophyll-a measurements often correspond to high ICEP values, including the Bay of Bengal, parts of south-east Asia and the Baltic Sea.












### CHLOROPHYLL-A, THE INDEX FOR COASTAL EUTROPHICATION POTENTIAL & HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOMS'S

Assessment of Chlorophyll-a and it's link to the ICEP and HABs mapping

- a measure of phytoplankton in the ocean.

Chlorophyll-a concentration in the ocean are derived from satellite imagery



SATELLITE PHOTO OVER PATAGONIA. SOUTH AMERICA

Chlorophyll-a is typically measured in milligrams of chlorophyll per cubic meter of seawater in a time period.

#### THE INDEX FOR COASTAL EUTROPHICATION POTENTIAL (ICEP)


- the possibility of a coastal environment becoming eutrophic based on the balance between nutrient loads in coastal waters

**ICEP** reflects the rivers ratio between nitrogen, phosphorus and silicon.



SATELLITE PHOTO OF THE BLACK SEA, COAST OF TURKEY.

ICEP can be **applied** using total loads (kilograms per year) or concentrations (mean annual concentration (gram per cubic metre).



Most phytoplankton blooms are a sign of good health, such as the large blooms occurring every spring in the North Atlantic Ocean.

LINK TO HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOMS & DEAD ZONES

Other blooms can point to problems developing in the ocean - such as harmful algal blooms (HABs).

Even if the blooming plant itself is not harmful, as billions of phytoplankton die and decay over a span of days, they can rob the water of oxygen, creating "dead zones" where fish and other marine organisms cannot survive.

From chlorophyll-a knowledge, Net Primary Production maps can be calculated. These are used to estimate a coastal systems sensitivity to changes in nutrient load.

#### ESTIMATING THE LAND SOURCES AND POTENTIAL FOR EUTROPHICATION

Nutrient sources are both natural processes, for example weathering of rocks for **silicon** and human activity like fertilizer use in agriculture and urban sewage water providing **nitrogen** and **phosphorus**.

There is an agreement between rivers with positive ICEP values and observed harmful algal blooms.


Local physical and environmental conditions will determine the tendency of a coastal marine ecosystem for developing high biomass algal blooms, harmful algal blooms or hypoxia.

#### RISK OF FUTURE HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOMS

With higher projected estimates of nitrogen and phosphorus (over silica) lost from watersheds, the potential for eutrophication and resultant harmful algal blooms will increase. This is reflected by higher positive ICEP values.



### Historic and predicted index of coastal eutrophication (ICEP) at basin level



The index of coastal eutrophication potential (ICEP) concept [Billen and Garnier, 2007],

we can now use the scenarios for river nutrient export to assess the potential risk that non-diatom algal growth may lead to harmful algal blooms in coastal marine ecosystems. ICEP is an indicator for the potential of riverine nutrients to sustain new production of non-diatom phytoplankton biomass; it is calculated by comparing the N, P and Si loading to the Redfield ratios expressing the requirements of marine diatom growth. Positive values of ICEP indicate an excess of N or P over Si, which may lead to blooms of non-diatom, possibly harmful species

The historical data suggest that harmful algal blooms risk increased considerably between 1970 and 2000. Scenario results for 2050 indicate that this risk will further spread (South America, Africa) and increase in areas with current high risk (Eastern Asia) (Figure 7.10). There are also large parts of the world where HAB risk is expected to decrease as a result of higher efficiency of nutrient use in agriculture and improved wastewater treatment. This is particularly so in the Adapting Mosaic scenario, which is a scenario with an orientation towards environmental issues and local simple
















#### Ten Key Action Areas to Address the Nutrient Challenge Optimization of: a. nutrient pollution sources placing them farther away from sensitive receptors (spatial planning, buffer 1 Implement a 'five-element strategy' zones, etc.); a. nutrient management; b. integration of different nutrient flows to foster more b. selecting appropriate crop cultivars; effective use; c. precision irrigation whenever needed; d. integrated weed, pest and disease management; e. site-specific mitigation measures. c. nutrient production to being closer to consumers Improving Spatial and temporal nutrient use 2 Improve: a. intake of animal protein where its optimization of efficiency in crop a. animal breeding; above dietary needs. nutrient flows production b. animal housing; Replace: c. animal health; b. animal protein with d. dietary management to avoid over-feeding of nutrients; e. nutrient management planning. nutrient use personal plant-based protein. efficiency in consumption of animal animal protein production 8 Improve: 3 Improving fertilizer value by: The a. transport activities by advanced a. manure processing Increasing the Reducing losses from telematics; Energy and fertilizer Nutrient b. transport planning; b. animal housing; transport equivalence c. mass public transportation; c. manure storage and handling; value of animal d. development of highly pool d. manure treatment; manure fuel-efficient cars. e. land application of manure. Low-emission Recycling N and P from waste combustion and 4 Improve techniques to energy-efficient a. reduce NOx and other Nr emissions in water systems, in systems, including 7 Implement and improve treatment of: cities, agriculture combustion renewable sources a. sewage system and treatment; b. improve fuel efficiency in combustion b. agriculture point source waste Improving nutrient Development of process treatment; efficiency in fertilizer NOx capture c. reduce energy requirement for fuel use c. industrial effluent treatment. and food supply and (e.g., improved insulation) d. greater use of renewable energy sources and utilization reducing food waste technology (wind, solar, wave, tidal, geothermal) 6 Reduce and improve: 5 Innovation capture with utilization: $\hbox{a. reducing waste from phosphorous}\\$ a. technology for extracting N from mining and processing; waste streams. b. improving food supply efficiency and reducing food waste.

(blurb from foreword?)











